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IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND REVISION NOTES
This solicitation has been updated to reflect the broader mission given NCSES under Section 505 of the America COMPETES
Reauthorization Act of 2010, particularly the support of research using the data it collects and on methodologies in areas related to
the work of the Center.

Important Reminders
A revised version of the NSF Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide (PAPPG), NSF 11-1, was issued on October 1, 2010
and is effective for proposals submitted, or due, on or after January 18, 2011. Please be advised that the guidelines contained in
NSF 11-1 apply to proposals submitted in response to this funding opportunity. Proposers who opt to submit prior to January 18,
2011, must also follow the guidelines contained in NSF 11-1.

Cost Sharing: The PAPPG has been revised to implement the National Science Board's recommendations regarding cost sharing.
Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited. In order to assess the scope of the project, all organizational resources
necessary for the project must be described in the Facilities, Equipment and Other Resources section of the proposal. The
description should be narrative in nature and must not include any quantifiable financial information. Mandatory cost sharing will only
be required when explicitly authorized by the NSF Director. See the PAPP Guide Part I: Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) Chapter
II.C.2.g(xi) for further information about the implementation of these recommendations.

Data Management Plan: The PAPPG contains a clarification of NSF's long standing data policy. All proposals must describe plans
for data management and sharing of the products of research, or assert the absence of the need for such plans. FastLane will not
permit submission of a proposal that is missing a Data Management Plan. The Data Management Plan will be reviewed as part of
the intellectual merit or broader impacts of the proposal, or both, as appropriate. Links to data management requirements and plans
relevant to specific Directorates, Offices, Divisions, Programs, or other NSF units are available on the NSF website at:
http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/dmp.jsp. See Chapter II.C.2.j of the GPG for further information about the implementation of this
requirement.

Postdoctoral Researcher Mentoring Plan: As a reminder, each proposal that requests funding to support postdoctoral researchers
must include, as a supplementary document, a description of the mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals.
Please be advised that if required, FastLane will not permit submission of a proposal that is missing a Postdoctoral Researcher
Mentoring Plan. See Chapter II.C.2.j of the GPG for further information about the implementation of this requirement.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

General Information

Program Title:
Research on the Science and Technology Enterprise: Statistics and Surveys
R&D, U.S., S&T Competitiveness, STEM Education, S&T Workforce

Synopsis of Program:
The National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES) of the National Science Foundation (NSF) is
one of the thirteen principal federal statistical agencies within the United States. It is responsible for the collection, acquisition, analysis, reporting and dissemination of objective, statistical data related to the science and engineering enterprise in the United States and other nations that is relevant and useful to practitioners, researchers, policymakers and the public. NCSES uses this information to prepare a number of statistical data reports as well as analytical reports including the National Science Board's biennial report, Science and Engineering (S&E) Indicators, and Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering.

The Center would like to enhance its efforts to support analytic and methodological research in support of its surveys, and to engage in the education and training of researchers in the use of large-scale nationally representative datasets. NCSES welcomes efforts by the research community to use NCSES data for research on the science and technology enterprise, to develop improved survey methodologies for NCSES surveys, to create and improve indicators of S&T activities and resources, and strengthen methodologies to analyze and disseminate S&T statistical data. To that end, NCSES invites proposals for individual or multi-investigator research projects, doctoral dissertation improvement awards, workshops, experimental research, survey research and data collection and dissemination projects under its program for Research on the Science and Technology Enterprise: Statistics and Surveys.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

Please note that the following information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

- Nirmala Kannankutty, telephone: (703) 292-7797, email: nkannank@nsf.gov

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):  
- 47.075 --- Social Behavioral and Economic Sciences

**Award Information**

**Anticipated Type of Award:** Standard Grant or Doctoral Dissertation Research Improvement Grant  

**Estimated Number of Awards:** 7 to 12  

**Anticipated Funding Amount:** $750,000 subject to the availability of funds

**Eligibility Information**

**Organization Limit:**  
The categories of proposers eligible to submit proposals to the National Science Foundation are identified in the Grant Proposal Guide, Chapter I, Section E.

**PI Limit:**  
None Specified

**Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:**  
None Specified

**Limit on Number of Proposals per PI:**  
None Specified

**Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions**

**A. Proposal Preparation Instructions**

- **Letters of Intent:** Not Applicable
- **Preliminary Proposal Submission:** Not Applicable
- **Full Proposals:**  

**B. Budgetary Information**

- **Cost Sharing Requirements:** Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited.
- **Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations:** Not Applicable
- **Other Budgetary Limitations:** Not Applicable

**C. Due Dates**

- **Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):**  
  June 06, 2012
I. INTRODUCTION

The National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES) of the National Science Foundation (NSF) is responsible for the collection, acquisition, analysis, reporting and dissemination of objective, statistical data related to the science and engineering enterprise in the United States and other nations. This information should be relevant and useful to practitioners, researchers, policymakers and the public. NCSES uses this information to prepare a number of statistical data reports as well as analytical reports including the National Science Board’s biennial report, Science and Engineering (S&E) Indicators, and Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering.

The America COMPETES Reauthorization Act codifies the role of NCSES in supporting research using the data that it collects and its role in research methodologies related to its work. The legislation specifies the responsibilities of NCSES in supporting the education and training of researchers who use large-scale data sets, such as the ones NCSES now collects. The following activities form the core of NCSES work:

- The collection, acquisition, analysis, reporting, and dissemination of statistical data related to the United States and other nations;
- Support of research that uses NCSES data;
- Methodological research in areas related to its work; and
- Education and training of researchers in the use of large-scale nationally representative data sets.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

NCSES welcomes proposals for research, workshops and studies to advance the development, understanding, and quality of the
S&T enterprise. Research could include improved approaches to indicator construction and presentation, new S&T indicator development, strengthening of methodologies to improve the surveys of S&T data, analytical or theoretical work on S&T policy relevant issues, and better understanding of the S&T enterprise in the United States and globally. NCSES encourages proposals that analyze NCSES data or NCSES data in conjunction with those from other sources, but does not limit the work to the analysis of the data it collects. NCSES mission is very explicitly geared toward activities that support use of data it collects through surveys, to methodological improvement of those surveys, and support of researchers in using this type of information.

A. AREAS OF INTEREST

Potential topics for consideration include but are not limited to:

- Improving analytical techniques to produce better indicators of issues related to: (1) the education and retention of scientists and engineers including minorities, women or persons with disabilities, (2) the demand, supply or characteristics of science and engineering personnel, (3) outcomes and impacts of research and development (R&D) expenditures in various sectors, countries, and fields including emerging fields, (4) estimates of current and near-term future S&T resources; and (5) innovation systems and measures, and competitiveness.
- Developing data, analyses, and indicators of the globalization of science, engineering and technology and analyses leading to a better understanding of the emerging global economy. This could include, for example, international comparisons of S&T capabilities and activities, including inputs, outputs, and impacts and interactions; indicators of international education and mobility of scientists and engineers; as well as foreign investment in S&T activities.
- Developing new and improved indicators and advances in the analysis and understanding of existing indicators of the inputs, outputs, linkages and social or economic impacts of S&T activities.
- Developing new and improved techniques to develop S&T indicators through the use of administrative records, social media, or novel data extraction methods.
- Improving the methodologies to collect, analyze, and disseminate statistical data through surveys, censuses, use of administrative records, and social media. Such studies could include research on survey design or quality of surveys conducted by NCSES. Studies of survey design could include the target population, sample frame, sample design, development of new data collection techniques, imputation, or estimation techniques. Survey quality could include studies on sampling error, coverage, non-response, measurement error, or data consistency with earlier or related surveys. Interest also relates to dissemination and analysis of the information in a timely and user-friendly format.
- Conducting studies that examine improved methods of presenting complex statistical analysis in an accessible, indicator format - either in hardcopy or electronic form. This could include studies that examine various reports in “indicator” formats and develop new approaches for potential use in Science and Engineering Indicators reports, or historical reviews of approaches to presenting indicators that build on previous styles to develop suggestions for new generations of policy indicators.

B. DATA AVAILABILITY

NCSES encourages proposers to use NCSES data for their research. NCSES conducts the following surveys for which data are available:

- Education of Scientists and Engineers
  - Survey of Graduate Students and Postdoctorates in Science and Engineering (GSS)
  - Survey of Earned Doctorates (SED)

- Science and Engineering Workforce
  - Survey of Doctorate Recipients (SDR)
  - National Survey of Recent College Graduates (NSRCG)
  - National Survey of College Graduates (NSCG)
  - (data from these three surveys are combined to form the SESTAT integrated data system)

- Research and Development Funding and Expenditures
  - Survey of Industrial Research and Development (SIRD, also see BRDIS)
  - Business Research and Development and Innovation Survey (BRDIS)
  - Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges (Acad R&D, also see HERD)
  - Higher Education Research and Development Survey (HERD) (beginning in 2010)
  - Survey of Federal Funds for Research and Development (FedFunds)
  - Survey of Federal Science and Engineering Support to Universities, Colleges, and Nonprofit Institutions (FedSupport)
  - Survey of R&D Expenditures at Federally Funded R&D Centers (FFRDC)
  - Survey of Research and Development Funding and Performance by Nonprofit Organizations (Non-Profit)
  - Survey of State Research and Development Expenditures (State R&D)

- Science and Engineering Research Facilities
  - Survey of Science and Engineering Research Facilities (Facilities)
  - Survey of Academic Research Instruments and Instrumentation Needs (Instruments)

For a broad overview of all of the surveys see: http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/srvyoverview/overview.cfm

C. DATA ACQUISITION

If proposers expect to use existing datasets, the proposal should indicate what those datasets are and whether the...
proposer expects to be able to acquire the data. Some NCSES datasets do require special procedures to acquire
data. Data access requirements for each NCSES dataset are shown in the NCSES Dataset Availability Table.

NCSES Data License Requirements

The goal of having a restricted-use data License is to maximize the use of statistical information while protecting
individually identifiable information from disclosure.

What is an NSF/NCSES restricted-use license?

The License is the method used to provide access to restricted-use data to academic institutions and other
qualified organizations (e.g., non-Federal government agencies, non-statistical Federal agencies, institutions of
higher learning, nonprofit organizations or research contracting firms). Restricted-use data are NCSES statistical
databases that contain individually identifiable records that were collected under a pledge of confidentiality.

Data on scientists and engineers from the following sources are currently available with a License:

Survey of Earned Doctorates (SED)
Survey of Doctorate Recipients (SDR)
National Survey of Recent College Graduates (NSRCG)
SESTAT Integrated Database

Use of licensed data for an NSF Award

Receiving an award from NSF for research using NCSES data does not imply that a license will be granted.
Additionally, obtaining a license to use NSF data is not required to obtain an award, nor is an award required
to obtain a license. Potential Pls planning on using NCSES data to conduct research proposed in their application are
strongly encouraged to contact NCSES prior to finalizing their proposal to a) determine whether the proposed
research is feasible with NCSES's licensed data; and b) determine whether it will be likely that a license will be
granted. NCSES provides public-use microdata with complete documentation on both the public and restricted
data that is available for use. Researchers are strongly encouraged to use these data to test the feasibility of their
research.

Who can apply?

A license is held by an organization, not by an individual; therefore, all applications for a license must come from a
requesting organization. If the organization is not a Federal statistical agency, it must have a license to authorize
any individual access to restricted-use data. Note that restricted-use data cannot leave the United States and therefore
organizations cannot apply for use outside the U.S. The principal researcher is in charge of the day-to-
day operations involving the License and must officially be a member of the organization (e.g. an employee). In
addition, collaborating researchers may have access to the restricted-use data. All collaborators must also be at
the same organization as the principal researcher.

A license will only be issued to an organization whose goals for the restricted data is to do statistical analysis that
furthers the mission of NCSES.

The following types of organizations are eligible to apply for a license

- Non-statistical non-regulatory Federal Agencies, including components of the NSF other than NCSES
- State and Local Government Agencies
- Institutions of higher learning
- Nonprofit Organizations
- Research contracting firms
- Non-NCSES contractors doing statistical analysis for which restricted-use data is necessary

To apply for a license, an organization must submit a data requirements document and a preliminary research plan
so that NCSES can determine that the project is feasible and meets eligibility requirements. The data requirements
should address the data files and variables required for the research. The preliminary research plan must include a
short description of the research project including why the dataset(s) requested are suitable for the research, a
justification for access to the restricted-use data, and a statement on why the publicly-available data are
insufficient for the research.

After the approval of the data requirements and research plan by NCSES, if NCSES and the researcher agree to
start the formal process, the organization must submit:

- Security plan
- Signed License agreement
- Executed affidavits of nondisclosure

Security

Strict security procedures are required to protect the data on individuals who responded to statistical Federal
surveys; i.e., who provided individually identifiable information. The License presents the terms under which
licensees must provide assurances of confidentiality necessary to comply with the pertinent laws. Potential
licensees who anticipate matching NCSES restricted-use datasets with personally identifiable information will also
be required to adhere to the NCSES data matching policy.

NCSES will provide access to the licensed data through a virtual data center. Potential licensees will be required to
use this data center, with special equipment from NCSES. Licensees will be expected to pay for the cost of this
equipment and use of the data center.

Census RDC Access Requirements

For information on how to obtain access to the SIRD and BRDIS data, please see
http://www.census.gov/ces/rdcresearch/.

Data Management Plan
To ensure efficient accessibility of new data, metrics and indicators that are developed via this competition, all research proposals that develop new data must include a data management plan. Proposers must adhere to NSF's general data policy (see the Data Management Plan for SBE Proposals). Data developed from NCSES restricted-use datasets may not be shared publicly, and will reside with NCSES at the end of the research period for additional dissemination by NCSES. For BRDIS data, any information developed as a result of a research project will be subject to Census RDC policies. Proposers should also apply the following requirements as appropriate.

Requirements for the data management plan for data developed from NCSES datasets:

- Statement regarding where any new or linked data will be archived. At a minimum, the proposal should include a letter of support from the specified data center.
- Identification of the data management point of contact and the person who is responsible for submitting the data, metadata and other documentation.
- Clear indication of which data are to be shared in the research community. Such data must be made available through an openly accessible data management system as soon as data are collected and verified.

Within the first three months of the award, investigators will provide a metadata inventory description (a high-level summary of the data to be developed) to the relevant archive. If a community-wide data coordination service is established, the metadata must be shared with this service. Every project must submit complete documentation and quality-controlled data to the appropriate archive in accordance with NSF's data policy.

In some cases the data that are developed or linked to NCSES data will be sensitive in nature. Proposers may request an exemption from the NCSES NSF program officer for those data. The request for exemption must clearly state why the data cannot be disseminated. In some cases, proposers might indicate a reasonable time period within which the data must be privately held.

D. GENERAL INFORMATION

Alignment with NCSES Mission

Proposals that do not target one or more of NCSES' core mission areas will be returned without review. The NCSES program overlaps with many other research activities and areas at NSF. Researchers with projects that do not meet specific NCSES criteria might consider other NSF programs and activities. Those programs that may be of particular interest to NCSES researchers are: Science of Science and Innovation Policy (SciSIP), Economics, Sociology, Methodology, Measurement and Statistics (MMS), Science of Organizations (SoO), Social Psychology, Science, Technology and Society (STS), and Partnerships for Innovation.

NCSES' core mission areas are:

- The collection, acquisition, analysis, reporting, and dissemination of statistical data on science, engineering, technology and research and development related to the United States and other nations;
- Support of research that uses NCSES data;
- Methodological research in areas related to its work; and
- Education and training of researchers in the use of large-scale nationally representative data sets

Dissertation Awards

This program is designed to improve the quality of dissertation research. These grants provide funds for items not normally available through the student's university. Additionally, these grants allow doctoral students to undertake significant data-gathering projects and to conduct field research in settings away from their campus that would not otherwise be possible. The program does not provide cost-of-living or other stipends or tuition. Outstanding proposals specify how the knowledge to be created advances scientific knowledge in the candidate's field.


III. AWARD INFORMATION

The anticipated fiscal year 2012 funding amount is $750,000. Based on the quality of proposals and the availability of funds, NSF expects to make 7-12 awards.

IV. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Organization Limit:

The categories of proposers eligible to submit proposals to the National Science Foundation are identified in the Grant Proposal Guide, Chapter I, Section E.

PI Limit:

None Specified

Limit on Number of Proposals per Organization:

None Specified

Limit on Number of Proposals per PI:

None Specified
V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Full Proposal Preparation Instructions: Proposers may opt to submit proposals in response to this Program Solicitation via Grants.gov or via the NSF FastLane system.

- Full proposals submitted via FastLane: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the general guidelines contained in the NSF Grant Proposal Guide (GPG). The complete text of the GPG is available electronically on the NSF website at: http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=gpg. Paper copies of the GPG may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov. Proposers are reminded to identify this program solicitation number in the program solicitation block on the NSF Cover Sheet For Proposal to the National Science Foundation. Compliance with this requirement is critical to determining the relevant proposal processing guidelines. Failure to submit this information may delay processing.

- Full proposals submitted via Grants.gov: Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation via Grants.gov should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide: A Guide for the Preparation and Submission of NSF Applications via Grants.gov. The complete text of the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide is available on the Grants.gov website and on the NSF website at: (http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=grantsgovguide). To obtain copies of the Application Guide and Application Forms Package, click on the Apply tab on the Grants.gov site, then click on the Application Step 1: Download a Grant Application Package and Application Instructions link and enter the funding opportunity number, (the program solicitation number without the NSF prefix) and press the Download Package button. Paper copies of the Grants.gov Application Guide also may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.

In determining which method to utilize in the electronic preparation and submission of the proposal, please note the following:

Collaborative Proposals. All collaborative proposals submitted as separate submissions from multiple organizations must be submitted via the NSF FastLane system. Chapter II, Section D.4 of the Grant Proposal Guide provides additional information on collaborative proposals.

B. Budgetary Information

Cost Sharing: Inclusion of voluntary committed cost sharing is prohibited

C. Due Dates

- Full Proposal Deadline(s) (due by 5 p.m. proposer's local time):

  June 06, 2012
  January 15, 2013
  January 15, Annually Thereafter

D. FastLane/Grants.gov Requirements

- For Proposals Submitted Via FastLane:

  Detailed technical instructions regarding the technical aspects of preparation and submission via FastLane are available at: https://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/a1/newstan.htm. For FastLane user support, call the FastLane Help Desk at 1-800-673-6188 or e-mail fastlane@nsf.gov. The FastLane Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the FastLane system. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this funding opportunity.

  Submission of Electronically Signed Cover Sheets. The Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must electronically sign the proposal Cover Sheet to submit the required proposal certifications (see Chapter II, Section C of the Grant Proposal Guide for a listing of the certifications). The AOR must provide the required electronic certifications within five working days following the electronic submission of the proposal. Further instructions regarding this process are available on the FastLane Website at: https://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/fastlane.jsp.

- For Proposals Submitted Via Grants.gov:

  Before using Grants.gov for the first time, each organization must register to create an institutional profile. Once registered, the applicant's organization can then apply for any federal grant on the Grants.gov website. Comprehensive information about using Grants.gov is available on the Grants.gov Applicant Resources webpage: http://www07.grants.gov/applicants/app_help_reso.jsp. In addition, the NSF Grants.gov Application Guide provides additional technical guidance regarding preparation of proposals via Grants.gov. For Grants.gov user support, contact the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726 or by email: support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Contact Center answers general technical questions related to the use of Grants.gov. Specific questions related to this program solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this solicitation.

  Submitting the Proposal: Once all documents have been completed, the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must submit the application to Grants.gov and verify the desired funding opportunity and agency to which the application is
VI. NSF PROPOSAL PROCESSING AND REVIEW PROCEDURES

Proposals received by NSF are assigned to the appropriate NSF program where they will be reviewed if they meet NSF proposal preparation requirements. All proposals are carefully reviewed by a scientist, engineer, or educator serving as an NSF Program Officer, and usually by three to ten persons outside NSF who are experts in the particular fields represented by the proposal. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with the oversight of the review process. Proposers are invited to suggest names of persons they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal and/or persons they would prefer not to review the proposal. These suggestions may serve as one source in the reviewer selection process at the Program Officer’s discretion. Submission of such names, however, is optional. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts of interest with the proposal.

A. NSF Merit Review Criteria

All NSF proposals are evaluated through use of the two National Science Board (NSB)-approved merit review criteria: intellectual merit and the broader impacts of the proposed effort. In some instances, however, NSF will employ additional criteria as required to highlight the specific objectives of certain programs and activities.

The two NSB-approved merit review criteria are listed below. The criteria include considerations that help define them. These considerations are suggestions and not all will apply to any given proposal. While proposers must address both merit review criteria, reviewers will be asked to address only those considerations that are relevant to the proposal being considered and for which the reviewer is qualified to make judgements.

What is the intellectual merit of the proposed activity?
How important is the proposed activity to advancing knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields? How well qualified is the proposer (individual or team) to conduct the project? (If appropriate, the reviewer will comment on the quality of the prior work.) To what extent does the proposed activity suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts? How well conceived and organized is the proposed activity? Is there sufficient access to resources?

What are the broader impacts of the proposed activity?
How well does the activity advance discovery and understanding while promoting teaching, training, and learning? How well does the proposed activity broaden the participation of underrepresented groups (e.g., gender, ethnicity, disability, geographic, etc.)? To what extent will it enhance the infrastructure for research and education, such as facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships? Will the results be disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding? What may be the benefits of the proposed activity to society?


Mentoring activities provided to postdoctoral researchers supported on the project, as described in a one-page supplementary document, will be evaluated under the Broader Impacts criterion.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on their relevance to NCSES program goals and their prospect of improving the development, quality, or understanding of the S&T enterprise.

NSF staff also will give careful consideration to the following in making funding decisions:

Integration of Research and Education
One of the principal strategies in support of NSF’s goals is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions provide abundant opportunities where individuals may concurrently assume responsibilities as researchers, educators, and students and where all can engage in joint efforts that fuse education with the excitement of discovery and enrich research through the diversity of learning perspectives.

Integrating Diversity into NSF Programs, Projects, and Activities
Broader opportunities and enabling the participation of all citizens -- women and men, underrepresented minorities, and persons with disabilities -- is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

B. Review and Selection Process

Proposals submitted in response to this program solicitation will be reviewed by Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to formulate a recommendation to either support or decline each proposal. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal’s review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

After scientific, technical and programmatic review and consideration of appropriate factors, the NSF Program Officer recommends to the cognizant Division Director whether the proposal should be declined or recommended for award. NSF is striving to be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. The time interval begins on the deadline or target date, or receipt date, whichever is later. The interval ends when the Division Director accepts the Program Officer’s recommendation.

A summary rating and accompanying narrative will be completed and submitted by each reviewer. In all cases, reviews are treated as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the names of the reviewers, are sent to the Principal
Investigator/Project Director by the Program Officer. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or decline funding.

In all cases, after programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the Division of Grants and Agreements for review of business, financial, and policy implications and the processing and issuance of a grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does so at their own risk.

VII. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to the submitting organization by a Grants Officer in the Division of Grants and Agreements. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program administering the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See Section VI.B. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions

An NSF award consists of: (1) the award letter, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award letter; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (GC-1); * or Research Terms and Conditions * and (5) any announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award letter. Cooperative agreements also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Financial and Administrative Terms and Conditions (CA-FATC) and the applicable Programmatic Terms and Conditions. NSF awards are electronically signed by an NSF Grants and Agreements Officer and transmitted electronically to the organization via e-mail.

*CThese documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Website at http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/award_conditions.jsp?org=NSF. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (703) 292-7827 or by e-mail from nsfpubs@nsf.gov.


C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the Principal Investigator must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer at least 90 days before the end of the current budget period. (Some programs or awards require more frequent project reports). Within 90 days after expiration of a grant, the PI also is required to submit a final project report, and a project outcomes report for the general public.

Failure to provide the required annual or final project reports, or the project outcomes report will delay NSF review and processing of any future funding increments as well as any pending proposals for that PI. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

PIs are required to use NSF's electronic project-reporting system, available through FastLane, for preparation and submission of annual and final project reports. Such reports provide information on activities and findings, project participants (individual and organizational), publications, and other specific products and contributions. PIs will not be required to re-enter information previously provided, either with a proposal or in earlier updates using the electronic system. Submission of the report via FastLane constitutes certification by the PI that the contents of the report are accurate and complete. The project outcomes report must be prepared and submitted using Research.gov. This report serves as a brief summary, prepared specifically for the public, of the nature and outcomes of the project. This report will be posted on the NSF website exactly as it is submitted by the PI.

VIII. AGENCY CONTACTS

Please note that the program contact information is current at the time of publishing. See program website for any updates to the points of contact.

General inquiries regarding this program should be made to:

- Nirmala Kannankutty, telephone: (703) 292-7797, email: nkannank@nsf.gov

For questions related to the use of FastLane, contact:

- FastLane Help Desk, telephone: 1-800-673-6188; e-mail: fastlane@nsf.gov.

For questions relating to Grants.gov contact:
Grants.gov Contact Center: If the Authorized Organizational Representatives (AOR) has not received a confirmation message from Grants.gov within 48 hours of submission of application, please contact via telephone: 1-800-518-4726; e-mail: support@grants.gov.

IX. OTHER INFORMATION

The NSF Website provides the most comprehensive source of information on NSF Directorates (including contact information), programs and funding opportunities. Use of this Website by potential proposers is strongly encouraged. In addition, National Science Foundation Update is a free e-mail subscription service designed to keep potential proposers and other interested parties apprised of new NSF funding opportunities and publications, important changes in proposal and award policies and procedures, and upcoming NSF Regional Grants Conferences. Subscribers are informed through e-mail when new publications are issued that match their identified interests. Users can subscribe to this service by clicking the "Get NSF Updates by Email" link on the NSF web site.

Grants.gov provides an additional electronic capability to search for Federal government-wide grant opportunities. NSF funding opportunities may be accessed via this new mechanism. Further information on Grants.gov may be obtained at http://www.grants.gov.

ABOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent Federal agency created by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended (42 USC 1861-75). The Act states the purpose of the NSF is "to promote the progress of science; [and] to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare by supporting research and education in all fields of science and engineering."

NSF funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. It does this through grants and cooperative agreements to more than 2,000 colleges, universities, K-12 school systems, businesses, informal science organizations and other research organizations throughout the US. The Foundation accounts for about one-fourth of Federal support to academic institutions for basic research.

NSF receives approximately 40,000 proposals each year for research, education and training projects, of which approximately 11,000 are funded. In addition, the Foundation receives several thousand applications for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships. The agency operates no laboratories itself but does support National Research Centers, user facilities, certain oceanographic vessels and Arctic and Antarctic research stations. The Foundation also supports cooperative research between universities and industry, US participation in international scientific and engineering efforts, and educational activities at every academic level.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities to work on NSF-supported projects. See Grant Proposal Guide Chapter II, Section D.2 for instructions regarding preparation of these types of proposals.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090 and (800) 281-8749, FIRS at (800) 877-8339.

The National Science Foundation Information Center may be reached at (703) 292-5111.

The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Website at http://www.nsf.gov

- Location: 4201 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22230
- For General Information (NSF Information Center): (703) 292-5111
- TDD (for the hearing-impaired): (703) 292-5090
- To Order Publications or Forms:
  - Send an e-mail to: nsfpubs@nsf.gov
  - or telephone: (703) 292-7827
- To Locate NSF Employees: (703) 292-5111

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENTS

The information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; and project reports submitted by awardees will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to proposer institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the
administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies or other entities needing information regarding applicants or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy; and to another Federal agency, court, or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See Systems of Records, NSF-50, “Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records,” 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004), and NSF-51, “Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records,” 69 Federal Register 26410 (May 12, 2004). Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding the burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Suzanne H. Plimpton
Reports Clearance Officer
Division of Administrative Services
National Science Foundation
Arlington, VA 22230